

Miller, Melinda

From: Miller, Melinda
Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Matthew Eales; Michael Nowak
Cc: DeFigueiredo, Mark; mfetman@alliantenv.com; Jaylen Fuentes
Subject: RE: Lucid Energy Red Hills MRV Plan - Request for Additional Information

Hello Matt,

Thank you for your question. We suggested moving important figures to the body of the text to make the plan more understandable. Continually referring to the appendix can be distracting from the overall narrative of the document, especially in an online format. The MRV plan submission will eventually become public record and posted on the GHGRP website, and we believe that placing key figures and information will make the document more readable to the public. As such, we recommend moving important figures such as stratigraphic columns, geologic structure maps, well location maps, and plume models to the body of the text. At a minimum, we would ask that you place any figures/tables with required information (as specified under subpart RR) in the body of the plan, such as Table 6.1-1, which contains key information related to leak detection monitoring.

Regards,
Melinda

From: Matthew Eales <MEales@lucid-energy.com>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 12:18 PM
To: Miller, Melinda <miller.melinda@epa.gov>; Michael Nowak <MNowak@lucid-energy.com>
Cc: DeFigueiredo, Mark <DeFigueiredo.Mark@epa.gov>; mfetman@alliantenv.com; Jaylen Fuentes <JaFuentes@lucid-energy.com>
Subject: RE: Lucid Energy Red Hills MRV Plan - Request for Additional Information

Melinda,

In review of the request for additional information, the Lucid team wanted to ask a question related to the number 2 question which is cut/paste below:

We recommend placing important figures and tables in the body of text to facilitate EPA review and improve the public understanding posted to EPA's website. For example, Table 6.1-1 should be reproduced in the main document as it contains key MRV plan monitoring.

Please know that we are fully committed to providing to the EPA exactly what is being recommended so meeting this recommendation above would not be a problem for us. That said, we wanted to raise a thought on this particular question as we believe it is more useful to the EPA and the public to refer to important figures and tables in the appendices as 1) some are referred to multiple times in the body of the MRV Plan and 2) most need to be of a larger size to ensure highest quality image for the reader. We only ask if this is something that would make sense to the reviewers quickly here in email.

Again, we are fully committed to following the recommendation completely so if you would still prefer figures and tables within the body, we will carry forward per recommendation.

All the best,
Matt

From: Miller, Melinda <miller.melinda@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:03 AM
To: Matthew Eales <MEales@lucid-energy.com>; Michael Nowak <MNowak@lucid-energy.com>
Cc: DeFigueiredo, Mark <DeFigueiredo.Mark@epa.gov>; mfetman@alliantenv.com; Jaylen Fuentes <JaFuentes@lucid-energy.com>
Subject: Lucid Energy Red Hills MRV Plan - Request for Additional Information

Hello,

Attached please find a request for additional information related to the Subpart RR MRV Plan for Red Hills. Thank you and feel free to get in touch with me if you have any questions.

Regards,
Melinda Miller

Melinda Miller
Environmental Engineer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
[Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program](#)
Miller.melinda@epa.gov | O: 202-564-1526

(b) (6)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.